

THE PROJECTIVE UNITARY IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GALILEI GROUP IN 1+2 DIMENSIONS

D. R. Grigore¹

Dept. Theor. Phys., Inst. Atomic Phys.,
Bucharest-Măgurele, P. O. Box MG 6, ROMÂNIA

Abstract

We give an elementary analysis of the multiplier group of the Galilei group in 1+2 dimensions $G_+^\uparrow$. For a non-trivial multiplier we give a list of all the corresponding projective unitary irreducible representations of $G_+^\uparrow$.

Shortened title: Galilei group in 1+2 dimensions

¹e-mail: grigore@roifa.bitnet

1 Introduction

Recently we have determined a complete list of all projective unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group in $1 + 2$ dimensions [1]. In this paper we intend to provide a similar analysis for the Galilei group.

The main technical obstacle seems to be the rather complicated structure of the multiplier group (see [2], Appendix A). In Section 2 we give an elementary analysis of the second cohomology group of the universal covering group $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow$ of the Galilei group $G_+^\uparrow$ in $1 + 2$ dimensions.

In Section 3 we construct for every non-trivial multiplier a certain extension of $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow$ which exhibits a semi-direct product group structure. Then we are able to apply Mackey induced representations method to determine the desired representations. In this paper only non-trivial multipliers are considered. The case of true representation (i.e. trivial multipliers) is elementary to analyse and raises no problems [1].

2 The Galilei group in $1+2$ dimensions

A. Notations

By definition the orthochronous Galilei group in $1 + 2$ dimensions $G^\uparrow$ is set-theoretically $O(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the composition law:

$$(R_1, \mathbf{v}_1, \eta_1, \mathbf{a}_1) \cdot (R_2, \mathbf{v}_2, \eta_2, \mathbf{a}_2) = (R_1 R_2, R_1 \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_1, \eta_1 + \eta_2, R_1 \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \eta_2 \mathbf{v}_1). \quad (2.1)$$

We organize $\mathbb{R}^2$ as column vectors, $O(2)$ as the 2×2 real orthogonal matrices and we use consistently matrix notations. This group acts naturally on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(R, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}) \cdot (T, \mathbf{X}) = (T + \eta, R\mathbf{X} + T\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{a}). \quad (2.2)$$

We will also consider the proper orthochronous Galilei group $G_+^\uparrow$ defined as:

$$G_+^\uparrow \equiv \{(R, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}) | \det(R) = 1\} \quad (2.3)$$

and the universal covering group $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow$ of $G_+^\uparrow$. We can take $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the composition law:

$$(x_1, \mathbf{v}_1, \eta_1, \mathbf{a}_1) \cdot (x_2, \mathbf{v}_2, \eta_2, \mathbf{a}_2) = (x_1 + x_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + R(x_1)\mathbf{v}_2, \eta_1 + \eta_2, \mathbf{a}_1 + R(x_1)\mathbf{a}_2 + \eta_2 \mathbf{v}_1), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$R(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos(x) & \sin(x) \\ -\sin(x) & \cos(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

The covering homomorphism $\delta : \widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow \rightarrow G_+^\uparrow$ is:

$$\delta(x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}) = (R(x), \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}). \quad (2.6)$$

Finally we describe the Lie algebra of $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow$, $Lie(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow) \simeq Lie(G_+^\uparrow)$ using the fact that $G_+^\uparrow$ can be organized as a matrix group. Indeed one has the group isomorphism:

$$G_+^\uparrow \ni (R, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} R & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{a} \\ 0 & 1 & \eta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\mathbb{R}}(4, 4). \quad (2.7)$$

Then $Lie(G_+^\uparrow)$ can be identified with the linear space of 4×4 real matrices of the form:

$$(\alpha, \mathbf{u}, t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha A & \mathbf{u} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and the exponential map is the usual matrix exponential. One can easily obtains the Lie bracket as:

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)] = \\ & (0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_1), 0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}_1) + t_2 \mathbf{u}_1 - t_1 \mathbf{u}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

B. Computation of $H^2(Lie(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow), \mathbb{R})$

As it is well known, to classify all multipliers of a Lie group G one has to compute first the second cohomology group of $Lie(G)$ with real coefficients [3]. As we have said in the Introduction, we provide here an elementary derivation of this group. If $\xi \in Z^2(Lie(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow), \mathbb{R})$ the cocycle equation writes as:

$$\xi([(\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)], (\alpha_3, \mathbf{u}_3, t_3, \mathbf{x}_3)) + \text{circular permutations} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Here $\xi : Lie(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow) \times Lie(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is, by definition, bilinear and antisymmetric. Using (2.9), we can explicitate (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi((0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_1), 0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}_1) + t_2 \mathbf{u}_1 - t_1 \mathbf{u}_2), (\alpha_3, \mathbf{u}_3, t_3, \mathbf{x}_3)) + \\ & + \text{circular permutations} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We have to consider some distinct cases of this equation:

(i) $t_i = 0, \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

One obtains:

$$\xi((0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_1), 0, \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{0}) + \text{circular permutations} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

From bilinearity we have:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{u}', 0, \mathbf{0})) = \mathbf{u}^t C \mathbf{u}'$$

where C is a 2×2 real matrix. From antisymmetry we find $C^t = -C$ so necessarily $C = \frac{1}{2}FA$ ($F \in \mathbb{R}$). So we have:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{u}', 0, \mathbf{0})) = \frac{1}{2} F < \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' > \quad (2.13)$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is the sesquilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by:

$$< \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} > \equiv \mathbf{u}^t A \mathbf{v} \quad (2.14)$$

It is easy to see that (2.12) becomes an identity.

(ii) $\alpha_i = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

Equation (2.11) becomes an identity.

(iii) $t_1 = 0$, $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, $\alpha_i = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, 2$)

One easily obtains from (2.11):

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{0}, t, \mathbf{x}), (0, \mathbf{0}, t, \mathbf{x}')) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

(iv) $t_i = 0$, $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, 2$), $\alpha_3 = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{0}$

Equation (2.11) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi((0, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_1), 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, t_3, \mathbf{x}_3)) + \\ & \xi((0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \alpha_2 A \mathbf{x}_3 + t_3 \mathbf{u}_2), (\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, 0, \mathbf{0})) + \\ & \xi((0, \mathbf{0}, 0, -\alpha_1 A \mathbf{x}_3 - t_3 \mathbf{u}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, 0, \mathbf{0})) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From bilinearity one has:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^t D \mathbf{u} \quad (2.17)$$

where D is a 2×2 real matrix.

If we take in (2.16) $\alpha_2 = 0$, $t_3 = 0$ and we insert the expression (2.17) we get

$$[D, A] = 0 \Leftrightarrow D = -\tau \times id + cA \quad (\tau, c \in \mathbb{R})$$

so, (2.17) takes the form:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{x})) = \tau \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u} + c < \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} >. \quad (2.18)$$

If we insert (2.18) into (2.16) we get $c = 0$ and:

$$\xi((0, A \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{0})) + \xi((0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{u}), (1, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0})) = 0$$

Because of linearity we have:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0})) = \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{u} \quad (\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^2) \quad (2.19)$$

and the preceeding relation gives:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{x}), (1, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0})) = \langle \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad (2.20)$$

Finally (2.18) reduces to:

$$\xi((0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{x})) = \tau \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}. \quad (2.21)$$

We denote:

$$S \equiv \xi((1, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{0})) \quad (2.22)$$

and use linearity to obtain:

$$\xi((1, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0}), (0, \mathbf{u}, 0, \mathbf{0})) = \langle \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \quad (\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (2.23)$$

Finally we can reconstruct the cocyle ξ from (2.13), (2.15), (2.17) and (2.19)-(2.23):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)) = & \langle \mathbf{G}, \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} F \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle + \\ & S(\alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2 t_1) - \langle \mathbf{P}, A(\alpha_1 \mathbf{x}_2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{x}_1) + t_2 \mathbf{u}_1 - t_1 \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle + \tau(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

If we take the generic element of $C^1(\widetilde{Lie(G_+^\uparrow)}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq (\widetilde{Lie(G_+^\uparrow)})^*$ to be $(\beta, \mathbf{G}, E, \mathbf{P})$ defined by:

$$\langle (\beta, \mathbf{G}, E, \mathbf{P}), (\alpha, \mathbf{u}, t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle = -\beta\alpha - \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u} - Et + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad (2.25)$$

then it is elementary to see that (2.24) rewrites as:

$$\xi = \tau \xi_0 + F \xi_1 + S \xi_2 + \partial(0, \mathbf{G}, 0, \mathbf{P}) \quad (2.26)$$

where $\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in Z^2(\widetilde{Lie(G_+^\uparrow)}, \mathbb{R})$ are:

$$\xi_0((\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)) = \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 \quad (2.27)$$

$$\xi_1((\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle \quad (2.28)$$

$$\xi_2((\alpha_1, \mathbf{u}_1, t_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\alpha_2, \mathbf{u}_2, t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)) = \alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2 t_1 \quad (2.29)$$

So every cocycle $\xi \in Z^2(\widetilde{Lie(G_+^\uparrow)}, \mathbb{R})$ is cohomologous with a cocyle of the form $\tau \xi_0 + F \xi_1 + S \xi_2$.

It is easy to establish now that this cocycle is not a coboundary. So we can summarize the preceeding discussion as:

Proposition 1: $H^2(\widetilde{Lie(G_+^\uparrow)}, \mathbb{R})$ is a three dimensional real space. In every cohomology class there exists exactly one cocyle of the type $\tau \xi_0 + F \xi_1 + S \xi_2$.

C. Computation of $H^2(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow, \mathbb{R})$

As in [3] one uses the fact that for G a connected and simply connected Lie group, $H^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $H^2(\text{Lie}(G), \mathbb{R})$. So, to determine $H^2(G, \mathbb{R})$ one should determine for ξ_0, ξ_1, ξ_2 some corresponding group cocycles. One easily determines (see [2]):

$$\omega_0(g, g') = 1/2 [\mathbf{a} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{a}' + t'\mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{v}'] \quad (2.30)$$

$$\omega_1(g, g') = 1/2 \langle \mathbf{v}, R(x)\mathbf{v}' \rangle \quad (2.31)$$

$$\omega_2 = \eta x' \quad (2.32)$$

where: $g = (x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a})$, $g' = (x', \mathbf{v}', \eta', \mathbf{a}')$

So we have:

Corollary 1: $H^2(\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow, \mathbb{R})$ is a three dimensional real linear space. In every cohomology class there exists exactly one cocycle of the type $\tau\omega_0 + F\omega_1 + S\omega_2$.

Finally, applying again Thm. 7.37 of [3] we have:

Corollary 2: Every multiplier of $\widetilde{G}_+^\uparrow$ is equivalent to a multiplier of the form $m_\tau m_F m_S$ where:

$$m_\tau(g, g') \equiv \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} [\mathbf{a} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{a}' + t'\mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{v}'] \right\} \quad (2.33)$$

$$m_F(g, g') \equiv \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \langle \mathbf{v}, R(x)\mathbf{v}' \rangle \right\} \quad (2.34)$$

$$m_S(g, g') \equiv e^{i\eta x'} \quad (2.35)$$

Remark 1: In 1+3 dimensions it is well known that only a multiplier of type (2.33) survives. So, m_F and m_S are characteristic to 1+2 dimensions. According to the general theory of the projective unitary representations, one has to consider the most general multiplier, which in this case is $m_\tau m_F m_S$. In [1] only the multiplier m_τ was considered, so the statement of Thm. 2 is rather careless.

3 The projective unitary irreducible representations of the Galilei group in 1+2 dimensions

A. The method

As anticipated, we classify here the unitary irreducible $m_\tau m_F m_S$ - representations of $G_+^\uparrow$. We try to mimick the method from [3] which consists of two steps:

a) One first applies a simple result, namely a generalization of Thm. 7.16 from [3].

Proposition 2: Let $m_0, \dots, m_p$ be multipliers for the group G and let $U (g \mapsto U_g)$ be an $m_0 \cdots m_p$ -representation of G in a (separable) Hilbert $\mathcal{H}$ space over the complex numbers. Let $G_{m_0, \dots, m_p} \equiv G \times \underbrace{\mathbf{T} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{T}}_{(p+1)\text{-times}}$ (where $\mathbf{T}$ is the set of complex numbers of modulus 1 considered as a multiplicative group) with the composition law:

$$(g; \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_p) \cdot (g'; \zeta'_0, \dots, \zeta'_p) = (gg'; \zeta_0 \zeta'_0 m_0(g, g'), \dots, \zeta_p \zeta'_p m_p(g, g')) \quad (3.1)$$

Then $G_{m_0, \dots, m_p}$ is a group, and:

$$(g; \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_p) \mapsto V_{g; \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_p} \equiv \zeta_0^{-1} \cdots \zeta_p^{-1} U_g \quad (3.2)$$

is a representation of $G_{m_0, \dots, m_p}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that:

$$V_{e; \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_p} = \zeta_0^{-1} \cdots \zeta_p^{-1} \times id \quad (3.3)$$

Conversely, if V is a representation of $G_{m_0, \dots, m_p}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that (3.3) is verified, then if we write:

$$U_g \equiv V_{g; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{(p+1)\text{-times}}} \quad (3.4)$$

$g \mapsto U_g$ is an $m_0 \cdots m_p$ -representation G in $\mathcal{H}$ and the connection (3.2) between U and V is true.

The proof is elementary and Thm. 7.16 of [3] is the particular case $p = 0$.

Is is clear that we will apply Prop. 2 with $p = 2$, and $m_0 = m_\tau$, $m_1 = m_F$, $m_2 = m_S$. We will denote the the corresponding group $G_{m_0 m_1 m_2}$ by $G^{\tau, F, S}$.

b) We remember that in [3], where one has to study only $G^{\tau, 0, 0}$. (see ch. IX, section 8), a semi-direct product group structure is exhibited, so one can apply the induction procedure. The same procedure works for $G^{\tau, F, S}$. Indeed one has an group isomorphism $G^{\tau, F, S} \simeq H^F \times_{t^{\tau, S}} A^{\tau, S}$ where:

- Let us define the group $H \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the composition law:

$$(x, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (x', \mathbf{v}') = (x + x', \mathbf{v} + R(x)\mathbf{v}') \quad (3.5);$$

then $H^F \equiv H \times \mathbf{T}$ with the composition law:

$$(h_1; \zeta_1) \cdot (h_2; \zeta_2) = (h_1 h_2; \zeta_1 \zeta'_1 m_F(h, h')) \quad (3.6)$$

The notation $m_F(h, h')$ makes sense because in the right hand side of (2.34) only the variables x and $\mathbf{v}$ appear.

- $A^{\tau, S} \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T}$ with the composition law:

$$(\eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0, \zeta_2) \cdot (\eta', \mathbf{a}'; \zeta'_0, \zeta'_2) = (\eta + \eta', \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}'; \zeta_0 \zeta'_0, \zeta_2 \zeta'_2) \quad (3.7)$$

- $t^{\tau,S} : H^F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A^{\tau,S})$ is given by:

$$t_{h;\zeta_1}^{\tau,S}(a; \zeta_0, \zeta_2) = \left(t_h(a); \zeta_0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{i\tau}{2} [2\mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{a} + \eta \mathbf{v}^2] \right\}, \zeta_2 e^{-iS\eta x} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

Here $a \equiv (\eta, \mathbf{a})$ and

$$t_h(\eta, \mathbf{a}) = (\eta, R(x)\mathbf{a} + \eta \mathbf{v}) \quad (3.9)$$

The semi-direct product structure follows from the homomorphism property of $t^{\tau,S}$. Then the isomorphism is:

$$\begin{aligned} H^F \times_{t^{\tau,S}} A^{\tau,S} \ni \{(x, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1), (\eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0, \zeta_2)\} &\leftrightarrow \\ \left(x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0 \exp \left(\frac{i\tau}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right), \zeta_1, \zeta_2 e^{iS\eta x} \right) &\in G^{\tau,F,S} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We note that $A^{\tau,S}$ is Abelian, so we will be able to apply Mackey induction procedure.

Remark 2: One may wonder why we did not apply Prop. 2 with $p = 0$ and $m_0 = m_\tau m_F m_S$. The reason is that we did not succeed to find a convenient semi-direct product structure for G_{m_0} in this case.

Taking into account (3.10) the representations of $G^{\tau,F,S}$ follow from representations of $H^F \times_{t^{\tau,S}} A^{\tau,S}$ according to:

$$W_{(x,\mathbf{v},\eta,\mathbf{a};\zeta_0,\zeta_1,\zeta_2)} = \mathcal{W}_{(x,\mathbf{v},\eta,\mathbf{a};\zeta_0 \exp\{-\frac{i\tau}{2}\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{v}\},\zeta_1,\zeta_2 e^{-iS\eta x})} \quad (3.11)$$

According to Prop. 2 we are looking for unitary irreducible representations of $G^{\tau,F,S}$ verifying:

$$W_{e;\zeta_0,\zeta_1,\zeta_2} = \zeta_0^{-1} \zeta_1^{-1} \zeta_2^{-1} \times id \quad (3.12)$$

Now we will follow the method of induced representations as presented in section II C of [1].

B. Computation of the orbits

It is clear that every character of $A^{\tau,S}$ has the form:

$$\chi_{p_0,\mathbf{p};n_0,n_2}(\eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0, \zeta_2) = \zeta_0^{n_0} \zeta_2^{n_2} \exp\{i(\eta p_0 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p})\} \quad (3.13)$$

where $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $n_0, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, $\widehat{A^{\tau,S}} \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the generic element denoted by $[p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2]$. One easily computes the dual action of $t_h^{\tau,S}$, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1) \cdot [p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2] = \\ [p_0 - \mathbf{v} \cdot R(x)\mathbf{p} - 1/2n_0\tau\mathbf{v}^2 + S n_2 x, R(x)\mathbf{p} + n_0\tau\mathbf{v}; n_0, n_2] \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The classification of the orbits of this action is elementary. We distinguish three cases which must be studied separately:

a) $\tau \neq 0, S = 0$

The orbits are:

$$Z_{n_2, p_0}^1 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{0}; 0, n_2]\}; \quad p_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$Z_{n_2, \rho}^2 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{p}; 0, n_2] | \mathbf{p}^2 = r^2, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}; \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$Z_{n_0, n_2, \rho}^3 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2] | \mathbf{p}^2 + 2n_0\tau p_0 = \rho\}; \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^*, \quad n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

b) $\tau = 0, S \neq 0$

$$Z_{n_0, p_0}^4 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, 0]\}; \quad p_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$Z_{n_0, n_2}^5 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2] | p_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$$

$$Z_{n_0, n_2, \rho}^6 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2] | \mathbf{p}^2 = r^2, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}; \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad n_0, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

c) $\tau \neq 0, S \neq 0$

$$Z_{p_0}^7 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{0}; 0, 0]\}; \quad p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$Z_{n_2}^8 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{0}; 0, n_2] | p_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$$

$$Z_{n_0, \rho}^9 \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, 0] | \mathbf{p}^2 + 2n_0\tau p_0 = \rho\}; \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$$

$$Z_{n_0, n_2}^{10} \equiv \{[p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2] | \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}; \quad n_0, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$$

It is not hard to see that condition (3.12) cannot be fulfilled by the induced representations corresponding to the orbits: Z^1, Z^2, Z^4, Z^7, Z^8 and Z^9 . So, we have to analyse only the cases Z^3, Z^5, Z^6 and Z^{10} . We analyse one by one these four cases.

C. The representations

a) $\tau \neq 0, S = 0$

In this case, $Z = Z_{n_0, n_2, \rho}^3$. A reference point is $\left[\frac{\rho}{2n_0\tau}, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2\right]$ and we have:

$$H_{\left[\frac{\rho}{2n_0\tau}, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2\right]}^F = \{(x, \mathbf{0}; \zeta_1 | x \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}\} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{T}$$

The unitary irreducible representations of this Abelian subgroup are of the form $\pi^{(s, n_1)}$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$); they are one-dimensional, are acting in $\mathbf{C}$ as follows:

$$\pi^{(s, n_1)}(x, \mathbf{0}; \zeta_1) = e^{isx} \zeta_1^{n_1} \quad (3.15)$$

In this case one can find explicitly a corresponding cocycle ϕ^π , namely:

$$\phi^{(s, n_1)}((x, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1), [p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2]) = e^{isx} \zeta_1^{n_1} \exp\left\{\frac{in_1 F}{2n_0\tau} < \mathbf{v}, R(x)\mathbf{p} >\right\} \quad (3.16)$$

As in [3] we identify $Z^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ according to:

$$[(\rho - \mathbf{p}^2)/2n_0\tau, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$$

and we consider the strictly invariant Lebesgue measure $d\mathbf{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Applying (3.11), the corresponding induced representation is acting in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{p})$ according to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(W_{(x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)} f \right) (\mathbf{p}) = \\ & \zeta_0^{n_0} \zeta_1^{n_1} \zeta_2^{n_2} \exp \left\{ i \left[-\frac{n_0\tau}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \eta \frac{\rho - \mathbf{p}^2}{2n_0\tau} + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p} + sx + \frac{n_1 F}{2n_0\tau} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right] \right\} \\ & f(R(x)^{-1}(\mathbf{p} - n_0\tau\mathbf{v})) \end{aligned}$$

The condition (3.12) imposes $n_0 = n_1 = n_2 = -1$. The factor $\exp\left(-\frac{i\eta\rho}{2\tau}\right)$ can be dropped because we are looking for projective representations. We get the projective representations $V^{\tau, s}$ ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$) acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{p})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(V_{x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}}^{\tau, s} f \right) (\mathbf{p}) = \\ & \exp \left\{ i \left(sx + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{\eta \mathbf{p}^2}{2\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{F}{2\tau} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) \right\} f(R(x)^{-1}(\mathbf{p} + \tau\mathbf{v})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

b) $\tau = 0$, $S \neq 0$

b1) $Z = Z_{n_0, n_2}^5$.

A reference point is $[0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]$ and we have:

$$H_{[0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]}^F = \{(0, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1) | \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}\}$$

i.e. a central extension of the Abelian group $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let π be an unitary irreducible representation of this group. Because of (3.12) we must have:

$$\pi_{(0, \mathbf{0}; \zeta_1)} = \zeta_1^{-1} \times id \quad (3.18)$$

This easily implies that we have:

$$\pi_{(0, \mathbf{v}; 1)} \pi_{(0, \mathbf{v}'; 1)} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{iF}{2} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle \right\} \pi_{(0, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}'; 1)} \quad (3.19)$$

i.e. $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \pi_{(0, \mathbf{v}; 1)}$ is an unitary irreducible representation of the canonical commutation relations in Weyl form. According to Stone-von Neumann theorem there exists (up to unitary equivalence) exactly one such representation, denoted π^{CCR} and acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ (for an explicit expression see e.g. [4], ch. 3.1). So, the representations of $H_{[0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]}^F$ we are looking for are of the form:

$$\pi_{(0, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1)} = \zeta_1^{-1} \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^{CCR}. \quad (3.20)$$

A corresponding cocycle is clearly:

$$\phi^{CCR}((x, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1), [p_0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]) = \zeta_1^{-1} \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^{CCR} \quad (3.21)$$

If we identify naturally $Z^5 \simeq \mathbb{R}$ with the strictly invariant measure dp_0 , then the corresponding induced representation is acting in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}, dp_0)$ as follows:

$$\left(W_{(x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}; \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)} f \right) (p_0) = \zeta_0^{n_0} \left(\zeta_2 e^{-iS\eta x} \right)^{n_2} e^{i\eta p_0} \zeta_1^{-1} \left(\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^{CCR} f \right) (p_0 - S n_2 x) \quad (3.22)$$

Again (3.12) imposes $n_0 = n_2 = -1$ and we are left with the projective representations V^{CCR} acting in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}, dp_0)$ according to:

$$\left(V_{x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}}^{CCR} f \right) (p_0) = e^{i\eta(p_0 + Sx)} \left(\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^{CCR} f \right) (p_0 + Sx) \quad (3.23)$$

b2) $Z = Z_{n_0, n_2, \rho}^6$.

A reference point is $[0, r\mathbf{e}_1; n_0, n_2]$ and one easily obtains:

$$H_{[0, r\mathbf{e}_1; n_0, n_2]}^F = \left\{ \left(2\pi n, \frac{2\pi n S n_2}{r} \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{e}_2; \zeta_1 \right) \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta \in \mathbf{T} \right\}$$

If we denote:

$$(n, \alpha; \zeta_1) \equiv \left(2\pi n, \frac{2\pi n S n_2}{r} \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{e}_2; \zeta_1 \right)$$

then the composition law is:

$$(n, \alpha; \zeta_1) \cdot (n', \alpha'; \zeta'_1) = (n + n', \alpha + \alpha'; \zeta_1 \zeta'_1 \exp\{ik(\alpha n' - \alpha' n)\}) \quad (3.24)$$

i.e. the little group is in this case a central extension of the Abelian group $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$. Here $k = \frac{2\pi F S n_2}{r}$. Let $(n, \alpha; \zeta_1) \mapsto \pi_{(n, \alpha; \zeta_1)}$ be an unitary irreducible representation fulfilling:

$$\pi_{(0, 0; \zeta_1)} = \zeta_1^{-1} \times id \quad (3.25)$$

(The argument leading to this relation is similar to the argument leading to (3.18)).

Then we have for $\pi_{n, \alpha} \equiv \pi_{n, \alpha; 1}$:

$$\pi_{n, \alpha} \pi_{n', \alpha'} = \exp\{ik(\alpha n' - \alpha' n)\} \pi_{n+n', \alpha+\alpha'} \quad (3.26)$$

The resemblance of this relation to the Weyl system (3.19) suggests us to associate to (3.26) a sort of imprimitivity system [3]. We denote: $\pi_{1, 0} \equiv V$ and $\pi_{\alpha} = \pi_{0, \alpha}$. It is clear that (3.26) is equivalent to:

$$\pi_{n, 0} = V^n; \quad V \pi_{\alpha} V^{-1} = e^{2ik\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}; \quad \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha'} = \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} \quad (3.27)$$

So, it is sufficient to find an irreducible couple (V, π_α) fulfilling the last two relations of (3.27). According to GNS theorem π_α is of the form:

$$\pi_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\alpha} dP(\lambda) \quad (3.28)$$

where $\Delta \mapsto P_\Delta$ is a projection valued measure in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. The second relation (3.27) is equivalent to:

$$VP_\Delta V^{-1} = P_{\Delta-2k} \quad (3.29)$$

Applying the same idea as in [3] Lemma 6.10, we can prove that P is homogeneous i.e. $\mathcal{K} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{C}^n, \beta)$ where β is measure on $\mathbb{R}$ quasi-invariant with respect to the transformation $\lambda \mapsto \lambda + 2k$ and

$$(P_\Delta f)(\lambda) = \chi_\Delta(\lambda) f(\lambda)$$

Moreover, like in [3] Thm. 6.12 one can show that V has the "diagonal" expression:

$$(Vf)(\lambda) = r_V(\lambda + 2k)^{1/2} v(\lambda) f(\lambda + 2k)$$

(here r_V is a Radon-Nycodim derivative).

It is easy to see that for $n > 1$ the system (V, P_Δ) is not irreducible. So, $n = 1$. Moreover one can show that $\text{supp}(\beta)$ must be discrete with period $2k$ i.e. $\text{supp}(\beta) = \{\lambda + 2km | m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is clear that we can take $\beta = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \delta_{\lambda+2km}$. In this case one can also take $\mathcal{K} = l^2$, (i. e. sequences $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}}$ such that $\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} |f_m|^2 < \infty$) and $P = \beta$. It follows that we have:

$$(\pi_\alpha f)_m = e^{i(\lambda+2km)\alpha} f_m; (Vf)_m = v_m f_{m+1} \quad (\forall m \in \mathbf{Z}) \quad (3.30)$$

(Here $v_m \in \mathbf{T}$).

The system (V, π_α) is irreducible. So, the unitary irreducible representations of the little group $H_{[0, r\mathbf{e}_1; n_0, n_2]}^F$ verifying (3.25) are of the form π^λ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) acting in l^2 according to:

$$\left(\pi_{n, \alpha; \zeta_1}^\lambda f\right)_m = \zeta_1^{-1} e^{i[\lambda + k(2m+n)]\alpha} f_{m+n} \quad (3.31)$$

We denote by ϕ^λ a cocycle corresponding to π^λ . (We have not been able to find a simple expression for this cocycle). Then, if we identify $Z^6 \simeq \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ with the strictly invariant measure $dp_0 d\Omega$, we get in the end the projective representation $V^{r, \lambda}$ acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, l^2, dp_0 d\Omega)$ according to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(V_{x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}}^{r, \lambda} f\right)(p_0, \mathbf{p}) = \exp\{i[\eta(p_0 + Sx) + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}]\} \times \\ & \phi^\lambda((x, \mathbf{v}; 1), [p_0 + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + Sx, R(x)^{-1} \mathbf{p}]) f(p_0 + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + Sx, R(x)^{-1} \mathbf{p}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

c) $\tau \neq 0$, $S \neq 0$

Only the orbit Z_{n_0, n_2}^{10} is involved. A reference point is $[0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]$ and we have:

$$H_{[0, \mathbf{0}; n_0, n_2]}^F = \{(0, \mathbf{0}; \zeta_1) | \zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}\} \simeq \mathbf{T}$$

with the unitary irreducible representations of the form π^{n_1} acting in $\mathbf{C}$ according to:

$$\pi_{(0, \mathbf{0}; \zeta_1)}^{n_1} = \zeta_1^{n_1} \quad (3.33)$$

A corresponding cocycle can be found:

$$\phi^{n_1}((x, \mathbf{v}; \zeta_1), [p_0, \mathbf{p}; n_0, n_2]) = \zeta_1^{n_1} \exp \left\{ \frac{iFn_1}{2n_0\tau} < \mathbf{v}, R(x)\mathbf{p} > \right\} \quad (3.34)$$

We identify $Z^{10} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the strictly invariant measure $dp_0 d\mathbf{p}$. The induced representations obey (3.12) iff $n_0 = n_1 = n_2 = -1$ and we get projective representations V^τ acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2, dp_0 d\mathbf{p})$ according to:

$$\begin{aligned} (V_{x, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \mathbf{a}}^\tau f)(p_0, \mathbf{p}) &= \exp \left\{ i \left[\eta(p_0 + Sx) + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{F}{2\tau} < \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p} > \right] \right\} \\ & f(p_0 + Sx + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}\tau \mathbf{v}^2, R(x)^{-1}(\mathbf{p} + \tau \mathbf{v})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

So every projective unitary irreducible representation of $G_+^\uparrow$ with a non-trivial multiplier is unitary equivalent to a representation of the type $V^{\tau, s}$, V^{CCR} , $V^{r, \lambda}$, or V^τ described by (3.17), (3.23), (3.32) and (3.35) respectively.

D. Infinitesimal generators

The representations (3.17) obtained in case a) are the analogues of the representations obtained in 1+3 dimensions (see [3], ch. IX, subsec. 8). The infinitesimal generators of this representation are:

$$(Hf)(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\tau} f \quad (3.36)$$

$$(\mathbf{P}f)(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}f \quad (3.37)$$

$$(Jf)(\mathbf{p}) = i \left(p_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_2} - p_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_1} \right) + sf \quad (3.38)$$

$$(\mathbf{K}f)(\mathbf{p}) = -i\tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \frac{F}{2\tau} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p} f \quad (3.39)$$

So τ and s must be interpreted as the mass and the spin of the system respectively. The interpretation of F is not clear. Because F appears from a central extension of a translation group (see (3.19)), it is tempting to associate

F with some kind of magnetic force. By restricting to the covering group of the Euclidean group $SE(2)$ we obtain:

$$\left(V_{x,\mathbf{a}}^{\tau,s}f\right)(\mathbf{p}) = e^{i(sx+\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{p})}f(R(x)^{-1}\mathbf{p}) \quad (3.40)$$

so performing a Fourier transform we can conclude that the system is localisable on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\left(V_{x,\mathbf{a}}^{\tau,s}f\right)(\mathbf{X}) = e^{isx}f(R(x)^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{a})) \quad (3.41)$$

The representations (3.23) and (3.32) from case b) are not localisable on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (the argument is similar to the one in [3] and is based on the existence of the constrain $\mathbf{p}^2 = r^2$).

In case c) the infinitesimal generators are:

$$Hf = p_0f \quad (3.42)$$

$$\mathbf{P}f = \mathbf{p}f \quad (3.43)$$

$$Jf = i\left(p_1\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_2} - p_2\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_1} - S\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_0}\right) \quad (3.44)$$

$$\mathbf{K}f = -i\left(\tau\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_0}\right) + \frac{F}{2\tau}A\mathbf{p}f \quad (3.45)$$

So in this case S can be interpreted as the spin of the system. For τ and F it is natural to keep the interpretations from case a).

By restricting to $SE(2)$ we obtain:

$$\left(V_{x,\mathbf{a}}^{\tau}f\right)(p_0,\mathbf{p}) = e^{i\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{p}}f(p_0 + Sx, R(x)^{-1}\mathbf{p}) \quad (3.46)$$

By performing a three-dimensional Fourier transform we get:

$$\left(V_{x,\mathbf{a}}^{\tau}f\right)(X_0,\mathbf{X}) = e^{iSX_0x}f(X_0, R(x)^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{a})) \quad (3.47)$$

The formula shows that the system is localisable on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The appearance of the representation V^{τ} is rather intriguing. Indeed in the relativistic case [1], there exists a single class of projective representation of the Poincaré group corresponding to non-zero mass systems and one would expect that in the non-relativistic limit we obtain only the representations from case a) (like in 1+3 dimensions).

Finally, we note that the true representations of $G_+^{\uparrow}$ are easy to find (see [1] Thm. 2) but they do not correspond to localisable systems.

References

- [1] D. R. Grigore, "The Projective Unitary Irreducible Representations of the Poincaré Group in 1+2 Dimensions", Journ. Math. Phys. 34 (1993) 4172-4189
- [2] J. Mund, R. Schrader, "Hilbert Space for Non-relativistic and Relativistic "Free" Plektons (Particles with Braid Group Statistics)", preprint Univ. Berlin, SFB 288 no. 74
- [3] V. S. Varadarajan, "Geometry of Quantum Theory" (second edition), Springer, New York, 1985
- [4] W. Thirring, "Quantum Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules", Springer, New York, 1979